References

- Guryanova, E. N. (1975). Zh. Strukt. Khim. 16, 143-144.
- Guryanova, E. N., Goldstein, I. P. & Romm, I. P. (1975). In Donor-Acceptor Bonds. New York: Wiley.
- Guryanova, E. N. & Romm, I. P. (1992). Khim. Phys. 11, 795-805.
- Lyalina, N. N., Dargina, S. V., Sobolev, A. N., Buslayeva, T. M. & Romm, I. P. (1993). Koord. Khim. 19, 57–63.
- Romm, I. P., Buslayeva, T. M., Lyalina, N. N., Shifrina, R. R. &
- Sinitsin, N. M. (1992). Koord. Khim. 18, 165-170.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1990). Acta Cryst. A46, 467-473. Sheldrick, G. M. (1993). Program for the Refinement of Crystal
- Structures. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Stephenson, T. A., Morehouse, S. M., Powell, A. R., Heffer, J. R. & Wilkinson, G. (1965). J. Chem. Soc. pp. 3632–3636.

Acta Cryst. (1996). C52, 2204-2206

Aqua[bis(salicylidene)ethylenediaminato]-(isobutyl)cobalt(III)

Huilan Chen,^{*a*} Deyan Han,^{*a*} Hong Yan,^{*a*} Wenxia Tang,^{*a*} Yao Yang^{*b*} and Wenjuan Yang^{*b*}

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Coordination State Key Laboratory, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China, and ^bCentre of Material Analysis, Nanjing University, Nanjing 210008, People's Republic of China

(Received 24 February 1993; accepted 4 July 1996)

Abstract

The title B_{12} model compound, aqua {2,2'-[1,2-ethanediylbis(nitrilomethylidyne)]diphenolato-*N*,*N'*,*O*,*O'*}(isobutyl)cobalt(III), [Co(C₄H₉)(C₁₆H₁₄N₂O₂)(H₂O)], has distorted octahedral stereochemistry around the Co^{III} ion. The equatorial salen ligand displays a 'stepped' conformation, which is the preferred conformation in penta- and hexacoordinate organocobalt-salen complexes. The axial Co—C bond length is close to that of coenzyme B₁₂ and in accordance with those of other B₁₂ models, but the difference in the axial Co—O bond length as well as the deviation from the ideal tetrahedral value of the Co—C—C angle are due to the *trans* influence of the axial isobutyl group, which has stronger σ -donor power.

Comment

The title compound, (1), was studied as a coenzyme B_{12} model compound (Halpern, 1982).

© 1996 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved



(1) $R = {}^{i}Bu$, $L = H_2O$

The X-ray analysis of (1) showed that the crystal consists of discrete molecules with distorted octahedral stereochemistry (Fig. 1). The salen ligand, coordinated to the Co^{III} ion via its two N and two O atoms, is in the equatorial plane, the atoms of which are coplanar to within ± 0.014 Å. One isobutyl group and one H₂O molecule occupy the two axial positions. The Co^{III} ion lies above the N_2O_2 mean plane by 0.078 Å, towards the isobutyl group. The isobutyl group is oriented with respect to the equatorial salen plane in such a way that it lies above and towards the plane through O1, N1, C1. C12. C16 and C11. As a result, the O1-Co-C5 and N1-Co-C5 angles are slightly larger than the O2-Co-C5 and N2-Co-C5 angles. The Co(salen) unit is significantly distorted from planarity, with an asymmetric 'stepped' conformation ($\alpha \neq \gamma$), while the ethylenediamine bridge assumes an asymmetric halfchair conformation,



Fig. 1. A displacement ellipsoid (50% probability) plot of the title complex, with H atoms omitted for clarity.

The bond lengths between the Co^{III} ion and the salen ligand donor atoms are in agreement with the values found in other hexacoordinate organocobalt(III)-salen complexes. The bond lengths and angles of the equatorial salen ligand as well as the axial C—Co—O(H₂O) angles are also comparable with those previously reported (Calligaris, Minichelli, Nardin & Randaccio, 1971). The Co-C bond length is in the range reported for organocobalt B_{12} model compounds (1.9–2.0 Å) and close to the value reported for 5'-deoxyadenosylcobalamin [2.00 (1) Å; Savage, Lindley, Finney & Timmins, 1987]. The axial Co-O bond length is a little longer than that in [(CH₃COCH₂)Co(salen)(CH₃OH)] [2.202 (9) A; Cesari, Neri, Perego, Perrotti & Zazzetta, 1970]. This difference may be ascribed to the different trans influences of the axial substituents and is in accordance with the strong σ -donor power of the isobutyl group. On the other hand, the Co-O bond in (1) is slightly shorter than that in $[(C_2H_5)Co(salen)]_2$ [2.342 (9) Å], which has a weak secondary Co-O coordination bridging the two halves of the dimer (Calligaris, Nardin & Randaccio, 1972).

The axial Co—C—C angle in (1) is found to be 126.9 (8)°, which is very close to the Co—C—C angle in B₁₂ coenzyme (R = 5'-deoxyadenosyl in scheme above) [124.0 (5)°; Savage, Lindley, Finney & Timmins, 1987]; they are both much larger than the expected value of 109.5°. Furthermore, a surprisingly large Co—C—C bond angle of 130 (4)° is also observed in an alkylcobaloxime compound with $R = CH_2C(CH_3)_3$ (Randaccio, Bresiani-Pahor, Toscuno & Marzilli, 1981). These are presumably a result of the steric interaction of the bulky R group with the equatorial moiety. Generally, steric and electronic effects have to be taken into account in order to explain the large distortion of the axial alkyl and equatorial ligands in Schiff base B₁₂ models.

Experimental

The title complex was synthesized according to the method of Schrauzer, Sibert & Windgassen (1968) and slowly crystallized as purple brick-shaped crystals from methanol/water solution.

Crystal data

 $[Co(C_4H_9)(C_{16}H_{14}N_2O_2)-(H_2O)]$ $M_r = 400.37$ Monoclinic $P2_1$ a = 11.378 (2) Å b = 7.124 (1) Å c = 11.585 (2) Å $\beta = 101.7 (8)^\circ$ $V = 919 (3) Å^3$ Z = 2 $D_x = 1.446 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ $D_m \text{ not measured}$ Mo K α radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$ Cell parameters from 25 reflections $\theta = 10-12^{\circ}$ $\mu = 0.946 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 KOblong $0.3 \times 0.2 \times 0.2 \text{ mm}$ Dark red

| Data collection | n |
|-----------------|-------|
| Enraf-Nonius | CAD-4 |

diffractometer

| 146 | 51 | observed | reflections |
|-----|----|-----------------|-------------|
| [| I> | >3 $\sigma(I)]$ | |

| $\omega - 2\theta$ scans | $R_{\rm int} = 0.019$ |
|------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Absorption correction: | $\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$ |
| empirical via ψ scan | $h = 0 \rightarrow 13$ |
| (North, Phillips & | $k = 0 \rightarrow 8$ |
| Mathews, 1968) | $l = -13 \rightarrow 13$ |
| $T_{\min} = 0.67, T_{\max} = 0.83$ | 3 standard reflections |
| 1855 measured reflections | frequency: 60 min |
| 1673 independent reflections | intensity decay: 10% |
| - | |

Refinement

Co

01 02

O3

N1 N2

C1

C2

C3 C4 C5 C6 C11

C12 C13

C14 C15

C16

C21 C22 C23

C24

C25 C26

C61

C62

| Refinement on F | $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.15$ |
|-----------------------|---|
| R = 0.053 | $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.221 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ |
| wR = 0.067 | $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.073 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$ |
| S = 1.24 | Extinction correction: none |
| 1461 reflections | Atomic scattering factors |
| 315 parameters | from International Tables |
| H-atom parameters not | for X-ray Crystallography |
| refined | (1974, Vol. IV) |
| $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$ | |

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

| $B_{\rm eq} = (4/3) \sum_i \sum_j \beta_{ij} \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{a}_j.$ | | | |
|--|------------|-------------|----------|
| x | у | z | Beg |
| 0.63986 (9) | 0.128 | 0.16942 (9) | 2.39 (2) |
| 0.6880 (5) | 0.318(1) | 0.0744 (5) | 3.2 (1) |
| 0.5112 (5) | 0.291(1) | 0.1876 (5) | 2.9(1) |
| 0.5225 (6) | 0.021(1) | 0.0059 (6) | 3.3 (1) |
| 0.7539(7) | -0.043 (1) | 0.1408 (6) | 2.8 (2) |
| 0.5871 (7) | -0.066(1) | 0.2533 (7) | 2.8 (2) |
| 0.8163 (9) | -0.036 (2) | 0.0586 (8) | 3.2 (2) |
| 0.5077 (8) | -0.058 (2) | 0.3216 (8) | 3.3 (2) |
| 0.633 (1) | -0.251 (2) | 0.231(1) | 4.1 (2) |
| 0.762(1) | -0.218 (2) | 0.211(1) | 4.1 (2) |
| 0.7373 (8) | 0.240(2) | 0.3156 (8) | 3.6(2) |
| 0.868 (1) | 0.209 (2) | 0.364(1) | 4.0 (2) |
| 0.7580 (8) | 0.295 (2) | -0.0020 (8) | 3.0(2) |
| 0.8220 (7) | 0.130(3) | -0.0133 (7) | 3.7 (2) |
| 0.8931 (7) | 0.125 (3) | -0.1009(7) | 4.6 (2) |
| 0.8987 (9) | 0.270(2) | -0.1738 (8) | 5.0(3) |
| 0.838(1) | 0.425 (2) | -0.1646 (9) | 4.6 (3) |
| 0.7669 (9) | 0.449 (2) | -0.079(1) | 4.6 (3) |
| 0.4470 (7) | 0.272(1) | 0.2714 (7) | 2.6 (2) |
| 0.4455 (7) | 0.109(1) | 0.3388 (7) | 2.8 (2) |
| 0.3712 (8) | 0.115(3) | 0.4233 (8) | 4.6 (2) |
| 0.3029 (9) | 0.261 (2) | 0.4395 (9) | 5.4 (3) |
| 0.303 (1) | 0.418 (2) | 0.370(1) | 5.0(3) |
| 0.3728 (9) | 0.429(2) | 0.2869 (9) | 3.9 (2) |
| 0.907 (1) | 0.281 (3) | 0.482(1) | 6.0(3) |
| 0.946 (1) | 0.301 (3) | 0.286(1) | 6.4 (4) |

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

| Co-N1 | 1.861 (8) | Co—N2 | 1.859 (8) |
|----------|------------|----------|------------|
| Co01 | 1.892 (7) | CoO2 | 1.911 (6) |
| Co-C5 | 1.991 (9) | Co03 | 2.218 (6) |
| 01—C11 | 1.316(12) | O2—C21 | 1.335(11) |
| N1-C1 | 1.301 (13) | N2—C2 | 1.319 (13) |
| N1-C4 | 1.476 (14) | N2—C3 | 1.464 (14) |
| C1-C12 | 1.45 (2) | C2—C22 | 1.419(15) |
| C3—C4 | 1.55 (2) | C5—C6 | 1.500(14) |
| 01-Co-N1 | 94.0 (3) | O1—Co—O2 | 87.3 (3) |
| 01—Co—N2 | 176.0 (3) | 01—Co—O3 | 86.8 (3) |
| 01—Co—C5 | 91.9 (4) | O2-Co-N1 | 174.2 (3) |
| | | | |

| 02-Co-N2 | 93.2 (3) | O2CoO3 | 87.8 (3) |
|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| O2-Co-C5 | 88.3 (4) | O3-Co-N1 | 86.6 (3) |
| O3-Co-C5 | 175.9 (4) | O3-Co-N2 | 89.3 (3) |
| N1-Co-C5 | 97.3 (4) | N1-Co-N2 | 85.2 (4) |
| N2-Co-C5 | 92.1 (4) | Co-01-C11 | 126.2 (7) |
| Co-02-C21 | 124.5 (6) | Co-N1-C1 | 127.5 (7) |
| Co-N1-C4 | 115.1 (7) | Co-N2-C2 | 128.0 (7) |
| Co-N2-C3 | 114.3 (7) | C2—N2—C3 | 117.5 (9) |
| N1-C1-C12 | 123 (1) | N2-C2-C22 | 123 (1) |
| N2-C3-C4 | 105.9 (9) | N1-C4-C3 | 104.9 (8) |
| Co-C5-C6 | 126.9 (8) | C5C6C61 | 113(1) |
| C5-C6-C62 | 111.0 (9) | C61-C6-C62 | 108(1) |
| | | | |

Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects, and corrected empirically for absorption. The Co atom was found by direct methods. Several cycles of difference Fourier syntheses revealed all the non-H atoms. Full-matrix least-squares refinement was performed with anisotropic displacement parameters for all non-H atoms. All H atoms were located in a difference Fourier map or calculated theoretically, except for the water H atoms. All calculations were performed on a PDP11/44 computer using the *SDP-Plus* (Frenz, 1985) program package.

This research was supported by grants from the Natural Science Foundation of China and the Foundation of the Centre of Material Analysis of Nanjing University.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, Hatom coordinates and complete geometry have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: HU1052). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Calligaris, M., Minichelli, D., Nardin, G. & Randaccio, L. (1971). J. Chem. Soc. A, pp. 2720-2724.
- Calligaris, M., Nardin, G. & Randaccio, L. (1972). Coord. Chem. Rev. 7, 385-403.
- Cesari, M., Neri, C., Perego, G., Perrotti, E. & Zazzetta, A. (1970). Chem. Commun. pp. 276-277.
- Frenz, B. A. (1985). Enraf-Nonius SDP-Plus Structure Determination Package. Version 3.0. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Halpern, J. (1982). B₁₂. Vol. 1, edited by D. Dolphin, pp. 501-541. New York: Wiley.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351-359.
- Randaccio, L., Bresiani-Pahor, N., Toscuno, P. J. & Marzilli, L. G. (1981). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 103, 6347-6351.
- Savage, H. F. J., Lindley, P. F., Finney, J. L. & Timmins, P. A. (1987). Acta Cryst. B43, 280–295.
- Schrauzer, G. N., Sibert, J. W. & Windgassen, R. J. (1968). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 90, 6681–6688.

2206